## ON TAXONOMY OF MALARIA VECTORS OF INDIA

By

# NEELAM TANDON, A. K. MUKHOPADHYAY AND A. K. HATI Department of Medical Entomology, Calcutta School of Tropical Medicine, Calcutta

### INTRODUCTION

'Taxonomy' is the foundation of all sciences. It is the key to the most complex questions. Insect taxonomy has a direct bearing on studies relating to tropical medicine and hence indirectly on national economy. Resurgence of malaria in our country has become a serious problem. All malarial countries are seriously handicapped and their natural development towards the highest economic and industrial efficiency is materially retarded.

Female Anopheline mosquitoes act as vectors of human malaria. Taxonomy of vector Anopheline mosquitoes has been done by various workers, resulting in the correct elucidation of malaria vectors of different regions of our country. Certain anomalies, however, exist. In this communication an attempt has been made to clarify the anomalies and throw light on the exact position of those Anophelines responsible for transmission of malaria.

## Taxonomy

'Anopheles' literally means in Greek an (privative) and ophelos ("advantage" or "use"). The term was introduced by Meigen in 1818 (Terminology of malaria, WHO, 1963).

The genus includes over 400 species and subspecies (Foote and Cook, 1959). Uptil year 1900, it was only known that transmission of human malaria was carried out by *Anopheline* mosquitoes. Stephens and Christophers (1902), however, point out that only certain species of *Anophelines* carry malaria in nature, while others do not.

Only 91 species are reported to be vectors of malaria in the whole world, 33 species in the Oriental region and 13 species and subspecies in India.

The basic taxonomic unit in animal kingdom is the species. A number of species consist of subspecies. The latter become geographically isolated from the parent species. Taxonomically significant genetic divergence is observed in them.

Ten Anopheline species labelled as vectors of malaria in India are as follows :

1. A. sundaicus, 2. A. varuna, 3. A. philippinensis, 4. A. stephensi, 5. A. culicifacies, 6. A. fluviatilis, 7. A. minimus, 8. A. annularis, 9. A. jeyporiensis, 10. A. leucosphyrus

A. sundaicus (A. ludlowii) was previously known as A. ludlowi and was described as A. vagus by Schuffner et al (1917). Roy and Brown (1970) state that considerable difference exists between A. ludlowi of Philippines and A. sundaicus found in India.

Adults of A. varuna were first discovered by Iyengar (1924) from specimens collected in Bengal. It was treated as a variety of A. minimus and was mistaken with A. fluviatilis due to morphological similarities (Edwards, 1922). Some references to A. listonii especially in respect to breeding in wells, probably relate to A. varuna.

A. philippinensis was referred to as A. fuliginosus (Station, 1915). It was commonly known in India and Malaya as A. nivipes or A. fuliginosus var. nivipes. A. philippinensis was at one time confused with A. annularis (Christophers, 1924), but later on the confusion was cleared.

A. stephensi, which is the most efficient vector of malaria in urban areas, has been synonymised as A. metaboles (James and Liston, 1911), Neocellia intermedia (James and Liston, 1911) and A. folquei (Christophers, 1924). It has been separated into two races on the evidence of the size of the eggs and wing length (Sweet and Rao, 1937).

A. culicifacies previously referred to as Myzomyia culicifacies was collected by Fry in Bengal as early as 1912 (Fry, 1912). Other synonyms are A. listonii (Giles, 1901), A. indica (Theobald, 1901) and A. punjabensis (James and Liston, 1911). A. culicifacies var. punjabensis has been recorded from Punjab. Another variety, A. culicifacies var. adensis has not been recorded from Indian area.

A. fluviatilis was synonymised as A. listonii (Edwards, 1932) and Myzomyia leptomeres by Christophers (1924). It was regarded together with A. minimus because of similar habits.

There has been a great deal of confusion regarding the nomenclature of A. minimus, until Edwards (1915) established the synonymy of A. christophersi Theo. as A. minimus, following his previous distinction of this form from A. listonii. Even upto quite recently the identification of these related forms has been very uncertain, (Christophers and Puri, 1931). A. annularis has many synonyms. It was known as A. fuliginosus by earlier writers; Christophers (1924) states that it comprises of two species, A. annularis and A. philippinensis.

A. *jeyporiensis* has been reported to consist of two varieties—(1) A. *jeyporiensis* (type form) and (2) A. *jeyporiensis candidiensis*. Both are found in India and have been included in the list of malaria vectors.

A. leucosphyrus is a jungle species. This group contains about 13 forms, all forest forms.

It consists of two important sub-species, *leucosphprus* and *balabacen*sis. Balabacensis is elevated to specific status by Colless (1957). The behaviour of the two is identical (Colless, 1950).

A. leucosphyrus has recently been shown to be a composite species (Roy and Brown, 1970), and includes 7 different forms or sub-species.

A. l. balabacensis is the commonest member and shows marked preference for human blood. According to Reid (1949), A. leucosphyrus includes the following forms—(1) leucosphyrus lypicus, (2) l. balabacensis. (3) l. clegans, (4) l. hackeri, (5) l. punjabensis, (6) l. riparis and (7) l. christatus. According to Kalra and Wattal (1962) three members of the A. leucosphyrus group (i. e., A. balabacensis (type), A. leucosphyrus (type) and A. leucosphyrus celebes form) are incriminated as vector of malaria. The differentiating features of A. clegans, A. balabacensis and A. leucosphyrus leucosphyrus are given by them.

#### Discussion

Identification of the exact vector species, geographical distribution and knowledge about their bionomics are essential to formulate a strategy against malaria. Destruction of all *Anopheline* adult and larvae is not possible and control is not feasible till the species actually taking part in the transmission of the disease is known.

Ten Anopheline species and their varieties (one each of stephensi, A. jeyporiensis and A. leucosphyrus) are at present known to transmit malaria in India. The number may further increase with further research.

Differentiation of species into sub-species has been done on the **basis of differences** in egg length, egg width, length of the float, number of ridges of egg float, the length of wings, and in the habits of the adults. (A. stephensi or A. mysorensis).

Taxonomically, a species such as *A. stephensi* should exhibit similar habits and habitats in all area, but at times this varies. Exophilic and endophilic habits of *A. stephensi* are exhibited in different geographical

region. This peculiar phenomenon may occur due to resistance to insecticide, which is termed as 'behaviouristic resistance'

A. stephensi of Calcutta which is resistant to DDT, dieldria and Abate is endophagus but exophilic (Mukhopadhyay, Tandon and Hati, 1977, and Hati, Tandon and Mukhopadhyay, 1978).

In some areas, principal vectors are known to have disappeared, their place is being taken by secondary vectors. A. minimus is reported to have disappeared from Assam. (Report of the Evaluation in Depth of NMEP, 1970), and A. philippinensis and A. varuna could not be recorded from West Bengal and Calcutta city respectively. (Ghosh et al, 1966; Das et al, 1971). However, in recent catches in the suburbs of Calcutta, A. varuna has been identified (unpublished data, 1977). A. fluviatilis which was once the only vector of malaria in the foot hills of Nilgiris (Russel and Jacob, 1942) had been reported to be on the verge of disappearance (Rahman, 1973). Recent reports, however, claim their reappearance. (Tour report, Regional Director, NMEP, Bangalore, 1976).

Regarding transmission of malaria by the Anopheline species recorded above, it has been stated that the vectorial capacity of a particular Anopheles species may vary fron place to place. Roy and Brown (1970) state that the vectorial potential of A. philippinensis and A. varuna is not constant everywhere. Although A. philippinensis has been reported from Bengal, Assam, India, Burma and Indo China, infection has been recorded only in Bengal, Assam and Burma.

Similarly A. varuna is reported to be an important carrier in the Singhbhum hills and in the Eastern Satpura Range (Senior White, 1937) but it is quite harmless in Vizagapatnam and Orissa coastal belt.

It is, however, a proved vector in Travancore and near Calcutta.

One variety, each of A. culicifacies and A. minimus (the one found in India), along with A. fluviatilis are very important malaria carrying species in the country.

Both the varieties of A. stephensi, A. jeyporiensis and A. leucosphyrus are equally efficient as vector of malaria.

There is considerable difference between A. ludlowi of the Philippines and the from A. sundaicus present in India, Java and Thailand. Malaria that occurs in coastal regions of India is due to A. sundaicus. In the Philippine Islands, A. ludlowi breeds in fresh water, whereas the other variety littoralis in brackish water (Roy and Brown, 1970).

In certain parts of South East Asia, A. balabacensis is a more efficient carrier of resistant falciparum malaria in comparison to A. minimus (Wilkinson et al, 1976). The reason is, however, not so clear.

#### Conclusion

Inspite of the present knowledge, a careful assessment of appearance and disappearance of important primary and secondary vector species, change in their vector potential and change in their susceptibility status to the commonly used insecticides (DDT, dieldrin and organophosphorus compounds) should be done at regular intervals in malarious areas to combat the disease in a successful manner.

#### Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Professor A. B. Chowdhury, Director, Calcutta School of Tropical Medicine for permission to publish the paper.

#### Summary

There are 13 species and subspecies of Anopheles mosquitoes in India, the females of which act as vectors of human malaria. Taxonomic identification of Anopheles spp., geographical distribution and exact knowledge of its biology are essential to formulate a strategy against malaria. An attempt has been made in this paper to determine the taxonomy of the Anopheles spp. found in India.

## References

- BROWN, A. W. A. AND PAL, R. 1971. Insecticide resistance in arthropods, WHO, Geneva, pp. 491.
- CHRISTOPHERS, S. R. 1924. Indian. J. med. Res., 12: 295.
- CHRISTOPHERS, S. R. 1933. The fauna of British India, including Ceylon and Burma. Diptera, vol. IV, Family Culicidae, Tribe Anophelini, pp. 371 (Taylor and Francis, Red Lion Court, Fleet Street) London.
- CHRISTOPHERS, S. R. AND PURI, I. M. 1931. Indian J. med. Res., 18: 1133.
- Colless, D. H. 1950. Indian J. Malariol., 4: 377.
- Colless, D. H. 1957. Idem. Proc. R. ent. Soc. Lond. (B) 26: 131.
- DAS, U. P., HATI, A. K. AND CHOWDHURY, A. B. 1971. Bull. Calcutta, Sch. trop. Med., 19:80.
- EDWARDS, F. W. 1915. Bull. ent. Res., 6: 156.
- Edwards, F. W. 1922. Bull. ent. Res., 13: 75.
- EDWARDS, F. W. 1932. Culicide, Geneva Insectorum, Fasc., pp. 194.
- FOOTE, R. H. AND COOK, D. R. 1959. Mosquitoes of Medical Importance, U. S. D. A., Agrie Handbook, 152, pp. 158.

- FRY, A. B. 1912. First report on Malaria in Bengal (Beng. Sec. Book Dept.) Calcutta, pp. 42.
- GHOSH, S. M. AND HATI, A. K. 1966. Bull. Calcutta Sch. trop. Med., 15:9.
- GILES, G. M. 1901. Entomologists, mon. Mag., 12: 196.
- HATI, A. K., NEELAM TANDON AND MUKHOPADHYAY, A. K. 1978. Indian med. Gaz., 112:22.
- IYENGER, M. O. T. 1924. Indian J. med. Res., 12: 24.
- JAMES, S. P. AND LISTON, G. T. 1911. A monograph of the Anopheles mosquitoes of India, Ed. 2 (Thacker, Spink & Co.) Calcutta, p. 113.
- KALRA, N. L. AND WATTAL, B. L. 1962. Bull. Nat. Soc. Ind. Mal. Mosq. Dis., 10: 159.
- MUKHOPADHYAY, A. K., NEELAM TANDON, AND HATI, A. K. Scientific meeting of the West Bengal Chapter of the National Academy of Medical Sciences, 17 B. L. December, 1977.
- RAHMAN, S. J.. WATTAL, AND SHARMA, M. I. D. 1973. Indian J. Ent., 35: 228.
- REID, J. A. 1949. Proc. R. ent. Soc., Lond. B., 18:42. Report of the evaluation in depth of NMEP in India, 1970.
- Roy, D. N. AND BROWN, A. W. A. 1970. Entomology (Medical and veterinary), 3rd Edition (Bangalore Printing and Publishing Co. Ltd.) Bangalore, pp. 855.
- RUSSEL, P. F. AND JACOB, V. P. 1942. J. Malar. Inst. India, 4: 349.
- SCHUFFNER, W. AND SWELLENGREBAL, N. H. 1917. Medl. Burg. Med. Ind. D. 4 pp. 124.
- SENIOR WHITE, R. 1937. Rec. Malaria Survey of India, 1:1.
- STANTON, A. J. 1915. Bull. Ent. Res., 6: 150.
- STEPHENS, J. W. W. AND CHRISTOPHERS, S. R. 1902. Reports to the Malaria Committee of the Royal Society, 6th series (Harrison and Sons), London.
- SWEET, W. C. AND RAO, B. A. 1937. Indian med. Gaz., 72: 665. Terminology of Malaria and Malaria Eradication, WHO, Geneva, pp. 127, 1963.
- THEOBALD, F. V. 1901. Monograph of the culicidae of the World—I. Brit. Mus. (Nat. Hist.), London. Tour Report, Regional Director, Coordinating Organization, N. MEP, Bangalore, May, 1976.
- WILKINSON, R. N., NOEYPATIMANONDH, S. AND GOULD, D. J. 1976. Trans. R. Soc. trop. Med. and Hyg., 70: 306.